

On a class of integrable interacting electronic systems with off-diagonal disorder

A. H. Castro Neto^{1,2}, D.K. Campbell², and Philip Phillips²

¹ *Institute for Theoretical Physics*

University of California

Santa Barbara, CA, 93106-4030

² *Loomis Laboratory of Physics*

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

1100 W.Green St., Urbana, IL, 61801-3080

Abstract

We report a class of *integrable* one-dimensional interacting electronic systems with *off-diagonal disorder*. For these systems, the disorder can be “gauged away,” and the spectrum can be mapped completely onto the spectrum of the ordered problem, which can be solved by Bethe ansatz or by bosonization. We study the magnetic properties of the persistent currents in mesoscopic rings in the case in which the ordered system is a Luttinger liquid. The system can be paramagnetic or diamagnetic, depending on the amount of the disorder and the number of fermions in the system.

PACS numbers:75.20-g, 71.55.Jv, 73.61.Ph, 75.30.Hx

It is usually believed that any amount of disorder in one-dimensional systems leads to electron localization. This belief is based mainly on the work of Anderson [1] and Mott [2], which demonstrates that in a one dimensional, non-interacting electronic system, disorder leads to destructive interference and as a consequence localization of the electronic wave functions. Recently, a class of 1-dimensional models has been discovered in which at certain energies, localization is suppressed as a result of a subtle resonant effect. The random dimer model [3], used for instance to explain the high conductivity of doped polyaniline, [4], is the archtypeal model here. When interactions are included in the presence of disorder, even more complex behaviour can arise. In some cases, such as $\nu = 2/3$ edge states in the fractional quantum Hall effect, the disorder appears to act as an irrelevant operator [5]. In addition, it has been shown at the level of mean-field theory that interactions might destroy resonant scattering in 1-dimensional systems [6]. This issue remains controversial as only a mean-field answer has been obtained.

In this paper we present an *integrable, interacting model with disorder*. We consider a particle hopping on a one-dimensional chain with a hopping matrix element that has a random phase. That the matrix element is complex means that time-reversal symmetry has been broken. Such a situation can arise, for instance, in the presence of strong spin orbit coupling [7]. A similar type of problem was studied in the late seventies by Mattis [8] and by Luttinger [9] in the context of the Ising model. Apart from its intrinsic interest as an integrable system, our model is relevant to understanding the problem of persistent currents in mesoscopic rings. As we will show, the zero temperature magnetic properties of the disordered integrable system are quite remarkable: the system can be paramagnetic or diamagnetic, depending on both the number of fermions (an effect that has been studied previously [10]) and the amount of disorder in the system.

We will consider the problem of interacting electrons with charge e moving in a ring (periodic boundary conditions) with N_a sites which is subject to an external magnetic flux Φ . The Hamiltonian of interest is

$$H = - \sum_{i=1,\sigma}^{N_a} t_{i,i+1} e^{i \frac{2\pi\Phi}{N_a\Phi_0}} \left(c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i+1,\sigma} + c_{i+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma} \right) + \mathcal{V}[n_{i,\sigma}] \quad (1)$$

where $c_{i,\sigma}$ and $c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operators for an electron in the i^{th} site with spin projection σ ($\sigma = \uparrow$ or $\downarrow$), $\mathcal{V}[n_{i,\sigma}]$ describes the interaction between the electrons (which is assumed to depend only on the electron *density*, *i.e.*, number of electrons on a site ($n_{i,\sigma} = c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}$)), $t_{i,i+1}$ is the hopping matrix element (which will be the source of the disorder), and $\Phi_0 = \frac{h}{e}$ is the flux quantum. This Hamiltonian is quite general in terms of the electron-electron interaction; for instance, it can describe Hubbard models involving only an on-site interaction, $\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}_1 = U \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}$, extended Hubbard models having also nearest-neighbor interactions, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 + V \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma} n_{i+1,\sigma}$, *etc.* The disorder in the model arises from the hopping matrix element, which is chosen to be,

$$t_{i,i+1} = t e^{i\delta_i} \quad (2)$$

where t is a constant and δ_i is a random phase on the site which can vary between $-\pi < \delta_i \leq \pi$.

Becasue the disorder appears as just a phase in the Hamiltonian, it can be (almost !) completely “gauged away” by an unitary transformation,

$$\mathcal{U} = \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{N_a} \sum_{n=1,\sigma}^{N_a} \sum_l^{n-1} \left(\delta_l + \frac{2\pi\Phi}{N_a\Phi_0} \right) c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} \right\} \quad (3)$$

which transforms the fermion operator to

$$d_{j,\sigma} = \mathcal{U} c_{j,\sigma} \mathcal{U}^\dagger = \exp \left\{ i \left(\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \delta_l + (j-1) \frac{2\pi\Phi}{N_a\Phi_0} \right) \right\} c_{j,\sigma}. \quad (4)$$

Under this unitary transformation it is easy to see that the new Hamiltonian can be written as,

$$H' = \mathcal{U} H \mathcal{U}^\dagger = -t \sum_{i=1,\sigma}^{N_a} \left(d_{i,\sigma}^\dagger d_{i+1,\sigma} + d_{i+1,\sigma}^\dagger d_{i,\sigma} \right) + \mathcal{V}[n_{i,\sigma}], \quad (5)$$

since $\mathcal{U} n_{j,\sigma} \mathcal{U}^\dagger = n_{j,\sigma}$. Eqn. (5) describes the underlying *ordered* problem, simply reexpressed in terms of the $d_{j,\sigma}$; thus we conclude that (modulo boundary conditions, as we discuss below)

the integrability of the disordered problem depends only on the integrability of the ordered problem (5). Here we will consider cases in which Eqn. (5) can be solved by the Bethe ansatz [11] or by bosonization [12].

Because we are treating a ring, we choose periodic boundary conditions, that is, $c_{j,\sigma} = c_{j+N_a,\sigma}$. In terms of the new operators, this requires

$$d_{j+N_a,\sigma} = \exp \left\{ i \left(\Delta + 2\pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right) \right\} d_{j,\sigma} \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta = \sum_{l=1}^{N_a} \delta_l$. Note that Δ can be always written as

$$\Delta = 2\pi n + \delta \quad (7)$$

where n is an integer and $-\pi < \delta \leq \pi$. Observe that the effect of the disorder is to create an *effective flux*, Φ_{eff} , through the ring. This flux is given by

$$\Phi_{eff} = \Phi + n\Phi_0 + \frac{\Phi_0\delta}{2\pi}. \quad (8)$$

Thus, disorder in this problem is effectively equivalent to an increase in the magnetic field applied in the ring. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $n = 0$ in what follows.

It is interesting to look at the wavefunction of the original disordered problem, $|\Psi\rangle$, in terms of the wavefunction of the underlying ordered problem, $|\Psi'\rangle$, which is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (5). Under the unitary transformation (3) we have,

$$|\Psi\rangle = U^\dagger |\Psi'\rangle. \quad (9)$$

The general form for the wavefunction for the ordered system is

$$|\Psi'\rangle = \prod_{l=1}^N \sum_{j_l, \sigma_l} \psi_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N}(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N) d_{j_1, \sigma_1}^\dagger d_{j_2, \sigma_2}^\dagger \dots d_{j_N, \sigma_N}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (10)$$

where N is the number of electrons in the lattice, $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of the problem.

Let us consider first the case where (5) can be solved by the Bethe ansatz with the twisted boundary conditions (6). In this case the wave amplitude ψ can be written as [11]

$$\psi_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N}(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N) = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} A_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N}(\mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P}) e^{i \sum_{l=1}^N k_{\mathcal{P}_l} j_l} \quad (11)$$

for a specific ordering $\{1 < j_{\mathcal{Q}1} < j_{\mathcal{Q}2} < \dots < j_{\mathcal{Q}N} < N_a\}$ of the particles. $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are permutations of the coordinates j_l and momenta k_l of the electrons (we measure momentum in units of the inverse lattice constant) and

$$A_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N}(\mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P}) = (-1)^{\eta_{\mathcal{P}}} A_{\sigma_{\mathcal{Q}1}, \sigma_{\mathcal{Q}2}, \dots, \sigma_{\mathcal{Q}N}}(\mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P}) \quad (12)$$

are the amplitudes obtained by the Bethe ansatz equations for the ordered case (the factor $\eta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is 0 or 1 if the permutation is even or odd, respectively).

The effect of disorder in the wave function can be obtained from (9) by introducing the identity operator between the operators in (10) ($UU^\dagger = 1$). It is straightforward to show that the wavefunction of the disordered system is simply written as ($\Phi = 0$),

$$|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{l=1}^N \sum_{j_l, \sigma_l} \tilde{\psi}_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N}(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N) c_{j_1, \sigma_1}^\dagger c_{j_2, \sigma_2}^\dagger \dots c_{j_N, \sigma_N}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (13)$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N}(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N) = \psi_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N}(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N) \exp\left(i\pi \sum_{l=1}^N N_l \delta_l\right) \quad (14)$$

where $N_l = N - m$ for $j_{\mathcal{Q}m} \leq l \leq j_{\mathcal{Q}(m+1)} - 1$ in the ordering defined above. Thus, we conclude that the effect of this particular kind of disorder is to introduce a Berry phase in the wavefunction [13]. Therefore, the disorder will introduce fluctuations in the shape of the wavefunction. For example, for the non-interacting problem, instead of an extended Bloch wave, we would find an extended but strongly oscillating solution. Of course the solution of the problem now depends on the *specific* form of the Hamiltonian which is solved by the Bethe ansatz with the twisted boundary conditions.

As an important example of the relevance this model, let us consider the transport properties of this system at low energies. Using a well-known result due to Kohn [14], we write the charge stiffness of this system as

$$D_c = \frac{N_a}{2} \left(\frac{d^2 E_0(\Phi)}{d\Phi^2} \right)_{\Phi=0}, \quad (15)$$

where $E_0(\Phi)$ is the ground state energy of the system in the presence of the magnetic flux. $E_0(\Phi)$ can be calculated from the Bethe ansatz solution [15,16]. To explore the implications

for transport in detail, let us take the continuum limit of the Hamiltonian (5), which (for typical band fillings) is a Luttinger model. For simplicity, let us consider the specific case of spinless fermions which interact via

$$\mathcal{V}[n_i] = U \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} \left(n_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (16)$$

This problem has been solved for an *external* flux [10], using the bosonization technique with twisted boundary conditions. We can apply the results of [10] directly, substituting for the external flux the *effective* flux due to disorder, as defined in (8). With some trivial modifications of the formula for D_c in ref. [10] one finds, at zero temperature and for an odd number of electrons,

$$\begin{aligned} D_c &= D_c^0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\cos(n\delta)}{n} \\ &= D_c^0 \ln \left(2 \cos \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and for an even number of electrons,

$$\begin{aligned} D_c &= -D_c^0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\delta)}{n} \\ &= D_c^0 \ln (2(1 - \cos \delta)) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $D_c^0 = \frac{v_F^*}{\pi}$ and v_F^* is the velocity of propagation of the fermions renormalized by the interactions [10]. For an odd number of electrons, the system is diamagnetic if $|\delta| < \frac{2\pi}{3}$ and is paramagnetic if $\frac{2\pi}{3} < |\delta| \leq \pi$. For an even number of electrons, the system is diamagnetic for $\frac{\pi}{3} < |\delta| \leq \pi$ and paramagnetic for $|\delta| < \frac{\pi}{3}$. Thus, the magnetic response of the system is very sensitive to both the “parity” (N) and the amount of disorder (δ) in the system.

The above argument is valid for a fixed value of δ . Suppose, however, that we have an ensemble of rings with different values of the disorder. We assume now that δ is randomly distributed between $-\alpha$ and α where $\alpha \leq \pi$, that is, the probability of finding an amount of disorder between δ and $\delta + d\delta$ is given by

$$P(\delta)d\delta = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \Theta(\alpha - |\delta|) d\delta \quad (19)$$

where Θ is the usual Heavyside step function. In this case, from (8) we would find that the average effective flux in the ring equals the applied flux, that is, $\bar{\Phi}_{eff} = \Phi$. It is easy to show by averaging (17) and (18) with (19) that, for an odd number of electrons one finds,

$$\bar{D}_c = D_c^0 \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} \sin n\alpha \quad (20)$$

and the response is always diamagnetic and for an even number of electrons,

$$\bar{D}_c = -D_c^0 \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sin n\alpha \quad (21)$$

and the response is always paramagnetic. This behavior resembles the problem without disorder. However, it is easy to see that for $\alpha = \pi$ the charge stiffness vanishes in both cases. This is due to the random distribution of diamagnetic and paramagnetic rings which gives rise to an effect that averages to zero. This is clear in (17) and (18) since the stiffness is periodic in δ .

In summary, we have presented in this paper a class of integrable disordered one-dimensional (periodic) systems in which the disorder can be “gauged away”. In such systems, the integrability only depends on the integrability of the underlying ordered problem. For problems solvable by the Bethe ansatz, the wavefunction acquires a Berry phase due to the motion of the electrons in the disordered system. We show that the disorder acts as an effective flux through the ring and that, for a fixed total amount of disorder, beyond the usual sensitivity of the persistent current to the number of electrons in the system, the magnetic response depends also on the amount of disorder in the system.

We are deeply indebt to W.E.Goff for many discussions. We thank N. Argaman, M.P.A. Fisher and E. Fradkin for clarifying discussions, and D. Guo for sharing her computer simulations of a modified Hubbard model which led us to the recognition that this class of models might be integrable. We acknowledge the partial support of by NSF Grants DMR94-96134, DMR91-22385 and PHY89-04035.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. W. Anderson, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492, (1958).
- [2] N. F. Mott and W. D. Twose, *Adv. Phys.* **10**, 107, (1961).
- [3] D. H. Dunlap, H. L. Wu and P. Phillips, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 88, (1990).
- [4] H. L. Wu and P. Phillips, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1366, (1991); P. Phillips and H. L. Wu, *Science* **252**, 1805, (1991).
- [5] C. L. Kane, M. P. A. Fisher and J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 4129, (1994).
- [6] P. Ordejon, G. Ortiz and P. Phillips, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 14682, (1994).
- [7] S. Fujimoto and N. Kawakami, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 17406, (1993) and references therein.
- [8] D. C. Mattis, *Phys. Lett.* **56 A**, 421, (1976).
- [9] J. M. Luttinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 778, (1976).
- [10] D. Loss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 343, (1992).
- [11] See, for instance, J. H. Lowenstein in *Recent Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics - Les Houches, XXXIX, 1982*, J. B. Zuber and R. Stora, eds. Elsevier, (1984).
- [12] V. J. Emery pp. 247-303 in *Highly Conducting One Dimensional Solids*, J. T. Devreese, R. P. Evrard and V. E. Doren, eds. Plenum, (1979).
- [13] M. V. Berry, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, **392**, 45, (1984).
- [14] W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **133**, A171, (1964).
- [15] B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 243, (1990).
- [16] C. A. Stafford and A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 1409, (1993).